

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/072,353	02/07/2002	James H. Buchanan	131105.1004	4267
32914 7590 10/19/2007 GARDERE WYNNE SEWELL LLP			EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION			WILSON, ROBERT W	
3000 THANKSGIVING TOWER 1601 ELM ST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, TX 75201-4761			2619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		\$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
, Office A. M. Comment	10/072,353	BUCHANAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Robert W. Wilson	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M	l <u>ay 2006</u> .				
	action is non-final.	•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 and 17-28 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15,17-22 and 28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 23-27 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/16/07</u>. 		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(B) as being anticipated by Cisco Document

No.: 78-10548-02 which is dated 2000 and is an IDS document of record.

Referring to claim 1, Cisco Document No.: 78-10548-02; henceforth, referred to as Cisco Document teaches: A method for provision routing policy of a plurality of customer sites of a Virtual Private Network (VPN) in a packet switched network the VPN established at least in part by constraining distribution of VPN route within the network (Pgs 3-1 to 3-41 show how IP addresses can be assigned to managed sites as a part of a VPN using BGP or packet network which upon assignment of the IP addresses constrain the distribution of export routers) comprising:

graphically defining at least one topological relationship between said plurality of sites of said VPN, the at least one topological relationship defining permitted communication between the plurality of sites (Graphically assigning a customer edge router as well as a providers edge router to be managed per Figures 3-18, 3-28, & 3-29. Graphically assigning IP addresses to managed router in a VPN which comprise both customer edge router and provider edge router Figures 3-41 through 3-46 which results in generating an automatic export router map per Note on Pg 3-41; thus, resulting in VPN or topological relationship which defines which routes can be exported or communication between the plurality of sites)

and automatically generating at least one route distribution rule for provisioning to router at least of the plurality of sites of said VPN based at least in part on said defied relationship, the at least one route distribution rule constraining at least in part distribution by the at least one of the plurality of sites of the VPN router within the network (a export route map or route distribution rule is automatically provisioned upon assignment of IP addresses on which the VPN relationship is based and results in a export route map which is a rule which defines which routes can be exported associated with a CE router within the network per Pg 3-38 to 3-41)

In addition Cisco Document teaches:

Regarding claim 2, wherein automatically generating at least one route distribution rule comprises: automatically generating at least one import rule; automatically generating at least one local export rule, and automatically generating at least one remote export rule (export router map or export rule per Pg 3-41)

Regarding claim 3, wherein automatically generating at least one route distribution rule for each site comprises generating an import rule for discarding route information received from the respective site (VRF configuration commands are used create to create route target import rule per Pgs 1-9 which inherently defines what route information is discarded)

Application/Control Number: 10/072,353

Art Unit: 2619

Regarding claim 4, wherein automatically generating at least one route distribution rule comprises generating for a site of said plurality of sites, an import rule for accepting route information, in response to said site being a member of a mesh VPN component, received form any site of said plurality of sites which is a member of said mesh VPN component. (VRF configuration commands are used create to create route target import rule per Pgs 1-9 which inherently defines what route information is discarded associated with a VPN in a mesh associated with routing community per Pgs 1-10 to Pg 1-11)

Regarding claim 5, wherein automatically generating at least one route distribution rule comprises generating, for a site of said plurality sites an import rule for accepting route information, in response to said site being a hub of a hub-spoke VPN component (VRF configuration commands are used create to create route target import rule per Pgs 1-9 which inherently defines what route information is discarded associated with a VPN in a hub and spoke associated with routing community per Pgs 1-10 to Pg 1-11)

Regarding claim 6, wherein automatically generating at least one route distribution rule comprises generating of a site of said plurality of sites, an import rule for accepting route information, in response to said site being a spoke of a hub-spoke VPN component, received from any site of said plurality of sites which is a hub of said hub-spoke VPN component (VRF configuration commands are used create to create route target import rule per Pgs 1-9 which inherently defines what route information is discarded associated with a VPN in a hub and spoke associated with routing community per Pgs 1-10 to Pg 1-11)

Regarding claim 7, wherein automatically generating at least one route distribution rule comprises automatically generating at least one local export rule, wherein the number of local export rules generated is at least equal to the number of VPN components of said VPN that the respective site is a member of (export router map or export rule per Pg 3-41 is defined for each local VPN)

Regarding claim 8, wherein automatically generating at least one route distribution rule comprises: generating for a site of said plurality of sites in response to said site being a member of a mesh VPN component a local export rule for accepting routes from a provided Edge-Customer edge (PE-CE) routing protocol (The exporter router map or export rule per Pg 3-41 is for exporting route information from a provider edge router to a customer edge router) Associating route information of said route information to all member of said mesh VPN component (Customer edge routing communities are defined per Pg 1-10 to 1-11 for a mesh and route information would only be shared with members)

Regarding claim 9, wherein automatically generating at least one route distribution rule comprises: generating, for a site of said plurality of sites in response to said site being a hub of a hub spoke VPN component, a local export rule:

Accepting routes from a Provider Edge- Customer Edge (PE-CE) routing protocol; associating route information of said VPN to said accepted routes; and advertising said accepted routes and said route information to all members of said hub-spoke VPN component. (VRF configuration commands are used to define an export rule for a customer edge router community which are hub and spoke per Pgs 1-9 to 1-11; thus, resulting in accepting routes from a Provider Edge-Customer Edge (PE-CE) routing protocol; associating route information of said VPN to said accepted routes; and advertising said accepted routes and said route information to all members of said hub-spoke VPN component)

Regarding claim 10, wherein automatically generating at least one route distribution rule comprises: generating, for a site of said plurality of sites in response to said site being a hub of a hub spoke VPN component, a local export rule:

Accepting routes from a Provider Edge- Customer Edge (PE-CE) routing protocol; associating route information of said VPN to said accepted routes; and advertising said accepted routes and said route information to all members of said hub-spoke VPN component. (VRF configuration commands are used to define an export rule for a customer edge router community which are hub and spoke per Pgs 1-9 to 1-11; thus, resulting in accepting routes from a Provider Edge-Customer Edge (PE-CE) routing protocol; associating route information of said VPN to said accepted routes; and advertising said accepted routes and said route information to all members of said hub-spoke VPN component)

Regarding claim 11, wherein automatically generating at least one route distribution rule comprises: generating, for a site of said plurality of sites in response to said site being a hub of a hub spoke VPN component, a local export rule:

Accepting routes from a Provider Edge- Customer Edge (PE-CE) routing protocol; associating route information of said VPN to said accepted routes; and advertising said accepted routes and said route information to all members of said hub-spoke VPN component. (VRF configuration commands are used to define an export rule for a customer edge router community which are hub and spoke per Pgs 1-9 to 1-11; thus, resulting in accepting routes from a Provider Edge-Customer Edge (PE-CE) routing protocol; associating route information of said VPN to said accepted routes; and advertising said accepted routes and said route information to all members of said hub-spoke VPN component)

Regarding claim 12, wherein automatically generating at least one route distribution rule for each site comprises generating a remote export rule for not advertising route information received from a site which is a member of a VPN component to a site which is not a member of said VPN component (export router map or export rule is automatically created which restrains advertising routes from local members out to the backbone per Pg 3-41)

Regarding claim 13, wherein automatically generating at least one route distribution rule for each site comprises generating, for a site of said plurality of sites in response to said site being a member of at least two VPN components, a remote export rule for advertising route information

Application/Control Number: 10/072,353 Page 5

Art Unit: 2619

received form a site which is a member of a first VPN component of said at least two VPN components to at least one site which is not a member of said first VPN component (export router map or export rule is automatically created which restrains advertising routes from local members out to the backbone per Pg 3-41 and Fig 1-4 per Pg 1-8 which shows restrain of routers from at least two VPN components)

Regarding claim 14, further comprising storing at least one route distribution rule in a database (export router map or export rule per Pg 3-41 stored in VRF table or database as shown in Fig 1-4 per Pg 1-8)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 15, 17-22, & 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Cisco Document No.: 78-10548-02 which is dated 2000 and is an IDS document of record in

view of Arquie (U.S. Patent No.: 6,880,127)

Referring to Claim 15, the Cisco Document teaches: A system for provisioning routing policy of a plurality of customer sites of a Virtual Private Network, in a packet switched network, the VPN establishing at least in part by constraining distribution of VPN routes within the network (Pgs 3-1 to 3-41 describes system for assigning IP addresses to managed sites as a part of a VPN using BGP or packet network which upon assignment of the IP addresses constrain the distribution of export route in a packet network)

A display area graphically displaying at least one VPN component of said VPN (Figs 3-18, 3-28, 3-29, 3-41, 3-46, & 3-47 are displays which are used to graphically define VPNS displaying both CE and PE routers which are assigned to VPN and are thus VPN components)

A customer area displaying said plurality of sites, at least one of said plurality of site being dragged from said customer area to said display area, wherein dropping of said at least one site on a graphical representation of said at least one VPN component causes said at least one site to be displayed in said display area and to become a member of said VPN component and automatically generating at least one route distribution rule for constraining distribution of routes the at least one of said plurality of sites (Figs 3-18, 3-28, 3-29, 3-41, 3-46, & 3-47 which display

customer edge routers or customer area routers which are displayed graphically and are assigned to become a member of a VPN or VPN component and upon being assigned an export route map or rule is automatically generated which constrains the export of routes or distribution of routers per Pg 3-41)

The Cisco Document does not expressly call for: dragging of sites for assignment on a display area

Arquie teaches: dragging of sites for assignment on a display area per Figs 1A-1E and per col. 1 line 27 to col. 2 line 29 & col. 2 line 40 to col. 3 line 67.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add the dragging of sites for assignment on a display area of Arquie in place of the graphical display of the Cisco Document in order to build a system which provides an administrator with a more user friendly displays which can be used to assign nodes in the network more efficiently.

In addition Cisco Document teaches:

Regarding claim 17, further comprising means for distributing said generated route distribution rule to a respective one of said plurality of sites of said VPN components (MPLS VPN software is the means for distributing the export route map per Pg 3-41)

Regarding claim 18, further comprising means for processing, by each site, route information received from said plurality of sites based at least in part on said least one route distribution rule (Each site has MPLS VPN software or means for processing route information received from the plurality of sites based upon export route map per Pg 3-41 or route distribution rule)

Regarding claim 19, further comprising means for establishing routing relations between said plurality of sites base at least in part on said processed routing information (The export route map provides the means for establishing routing relations between said plurality of sites based at least on said processed routing information)

Regarding claim 20, further comprising a database operable to store said at least one route distribution rule (The VRF routing table or data base stores the export route map per Pg 3-41)

Referring to claim 21, the Cisco Document teaches: A method for provisioning routing policy of a plurality of customer sites of a Virtual Private Network (VPN) in a packet switched network the VPN established at least in part by constraining distribution VPN routes within the network co (Pgs 3-1 to 3-41 show how IP addresses can be assigned to managed sites as a part of a VPN using BGP or packet network which upon assignment of the IP addresses constrain the distribution of export routers)

graphically displaying at least one VPN component of said VPN (Figs 3-18, 3-28, 3-29, 3-41, 3-46, & 3-47 are displays which are used to graphically define VPNS displaying both CE and PE routers which are assigned to VPN and are thus VPN components)

enabling assigning of said representation of at least one site on said representation of said at least one VPN component thereby causing said site to become a member of said VPN component (Figs 3-18, 3-28, 3-29, 3-41, 3-46, & 3-47 are displays which are used to graphically define VPNS displaying both CE and PE routers which are assigned to VPN and are thus VPN components)

enabling assigning of said representation of said at least one site on said representation of said at least one VPN component thereby causing said site to become a member of a VPN component (Figs 3-18, 3-28, 3-29, 3-41, 3-46, & 3-47 are displays which are used to graphically define VPNS displaying both CE and PE routers which are assigned to VPN and are thus VPN components)

The Cisco Document does not expressly call for: dragging and dropping

Arquie teaches: dragging and dropping for assignment on a display area per Figs 1A-1E and per col. 1 line 27 to col. 2 line 29 & col. 2 line 40 to col. 3 line 67.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add the dragging and dropping of sites for assignment on a display area of Arquie in place of the graphical display of the Cisco document in order to build a system which provides an administrator with a more user friendly displays which can be used to assign nodes in the network more efficiently.

In addition the Cisco Document teaches:

Regarding claim 22, further comprising storing at least one route distribution rule and route information received from said plurality of sites in a data base (The VRF table stores the export route map or route distribution rule and inherently stores routing information before forwarding per Pg 3-41)

Regarding claim 28, wherein the VPN route establish label-switched paths through the network between the plurality of sites (MPLS or label switched paths per Pgs 3-13 to 3-36 respectively)

Application/Control Number: 10/072,353 Page 8

Art Unit: 2619

Claim Objections

4. Claims 23-27 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Amendment

5. Applicant's arguments filed 8/21/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The examiner respectively disagrees with the applicant argument the Cisco reference does not teach "graphically defines topographical relationship between sites of a VPN"

The Cisco reference teaches: that when the CE routers are configured or provisioned that the CE routers affect all of the traffic from customer sites 1 and 2 respectively per Fig 3-38. The examiner points out that CE router is a virtual customer router because all of the traffic associated with the customer site goes through the router. Applicant's representative goes on to argue the service provider can only configure CE routers and not customer site routers. Apparently applicant's representative is confused because a CE router is a virtual customer router that affects all of the traffic from at least two sites. Applicant representative argues that because the service provider cannot configure a customer physical router that a topological relationship between network sites is not defined. Setting up a virtual private network is different from setting up a customer physical network and carrier core physical network or two physical networks. The examiner further points out even if the claims limitations were amended to be "said plurality of customer sites of said VPN" the reference would still read on the limitation because the network configured is a "virtual network" and not a "physical network".

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 10/072,353 Page 9

Art Unit: 2619

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert W. Wilson whose telephone number is 571/272-3075. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edan Orgad can be reached on 571/272-7884. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert W Wilson

Examiner

Art Unit 2619

Application/Control Number: 10/072,353

Art Unit: 2619

10/3/07

Page 10